



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/464,902	12/16/1999	WILLIAM C. OLSON	57906-AJPW/S	8227	
75	90 10/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP			LE, EMILY M		
1185 AVENUE NEW YORK, 1	OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1648		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·		09/464,902	OLSON ET AL.			
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Emily Le	1648			
The MAII Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONT - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply with Any reply received I	S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR HS from the mailing date of this communication. y is specified above, the maximum statutory perion the set or extended period for reply will, by state	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE ling date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on 16	May 2005 and 18 July 2005.				
2a)☐ This actio	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clai	ms					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐	10-137 is/are pending in the applic above claim(s) is/are withdom is/are allowed. 10-137 is/are rejected. 116-124,127-130 and 133-137 is/are are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. re objected to.				
Application Papers	S					
10) The drawing Applicant r	nay not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ner. ccepted or b) objected to by the I ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob Examiner. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U	I.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	one Cited (DTO 200)	∧ □ 1-4	(DTO 412)			
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/464,902 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/18/2005 has been entered.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-109 are cancelled. Claims 110-137 are added. Claims 110-137 are pending and under examination.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 116-124, 127-130 and 133-137 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims recite the recitations "an antibody" and/or "single chain antibody". The recitations are objected to because it appears that the cited recitations do not directly refer back to the antibody recited in the independent claim.

To obviate this objection, the Office suggests Applicant to amend the recitation "an antibody" to "said antibody", and the recitation "single chain antibody" to "the single chain of said antibody".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/464,902 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 110-137 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are directed to an isolated nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide comprising three CDR regions of one of six listed antibodies, wherein said polypeptide binds to an epitope of CCR5 when combined with a second polypeptide.

The instant written rejection is directed at the second polypeptide. In the instant, the specification only disclosed of one species of second polypeptide per listed antibody. However, the claims are directed to a genus of second polypeptides.

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient description of a representative number of species by i) actual reduction to practice, ii) reduction to drawings, or iii) disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics. Examples of factors to be considered for the latter requirement include:

- disclosure of complete or partial structure,
- physical and/or chemical properties,
- functional characteristics,
- correlation between structure and function, and

Application/Control Number: 09/464,902 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

methods of making.

Each of the listed criteria is addressed in turn below.

i) <u>sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction</u>

<u>to practice</u>: As provided above, only one species among a multitude of species is
inherently, via the possession of the antibody, disclosed in the specification. A

disclosure of only one species among the multitude of species that can possibly be
envisioned is not a sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual
reduction to practice.

- ii) sufficient description of a representative number of species by reduction to drawings: The drawings do not provide a description of any species that is encompassed by the recitation "second polypeptide". Thus, the drawings do not provide a sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice.
- iii) <u>sufficient description of a representative number of species by disclosure of</u> relevant identifying characteristics:
 - disclosure of complete or partial structure: The specification does
 not provide either a complete or partial structure of the second
 polypeptide. As noted above, the disclosure on provides an
 inherent teaching of the one species that is encompassed by the
 recitation "second polypeptide".
 - <u>physical and/or chemical properties</u>: Neither the claims nor the specification require the second polypeptide to have a particular physical and/or chemical property.

Art Unit: 1648

- <u>functional characteristics</u>: The claims require that the second polypeptide, when used in conjunction with the first polypeptide, binds to an epitope on CCR5. However, this is not a functional characteristic that is exclusive of the second polypeptide. It is a functional characteristic that is expected when the first and second polypeptide are used in combination with one another. Thus, no functional characteristic for the second polypeptide is provided in the claims. Nor is one provided for the second polypeptide in the specification.
- correlation between structure and function: No correlation between structure and function can be rendered from the specification.
- methods of making the second polypeptide: A method of making generic polypeptides is well known in the art; however, without a correlative teaching between structure and the required functional characteristics, the skilled artisan would not be able to envisage the second polypeptide. Thus, a method of making a second polypeptide having a functional characteristic, when combined with the first polypeptide, is not readily apparent.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that

Application/Control Number: 09/464,902

Art Unit: 1648

[he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of second polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of making. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Page 6

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See Fiddes v. Baird, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In Fiddes, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only the one species of second polypeptides for each of listed antibodies, but not the full breadth of the claim meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that Vas-Cath makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

To overcome the instant rejection, the Office suggests that Applicant amends the recitation "wherein the polypeptide in combination with a second polypeptide" to "wherein the polypeptide in combination with a heavy/light chain of an anti-CCR5 antibody".

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/464,902

Art Unit: 1648

7. The claims would be allowable if Applicant adopts the suggestions provided herein.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, Contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. **Primary Patent Examiner** Art Unit 1648

Page 7